

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,398		09/24/2001	Masaki Kurasawa	011254	5650
38834	7590	11/15/2004		EXAMINER	
		ATTORI, DANIEL	LE, THAO X		
1250 CONN SUITE 700	1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700				PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DO	ON, DC 20036		2814	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/960,398	KURASAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thao X Le	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply fix to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 I	November 2004.					
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
*	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-28</u> is/are withdra 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,9,10,13,30 and 31</u> is/are allo 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4,7,8,11,12 and 14</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a bythe lead of a bythe lead of a bythe lead of the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to bythe lead of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/960,398

Art Unit: 2814

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 3-4, 7-8, 11-12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5619393 to Summerfelt et al. in view of US 6294860 to Shimada et al. and US 6265740 to Kim

Regarding to claim 3, Summerfelt discloses a capacitor in fig. 19 comprising: a lower electrode 34 formed on the substrate 30, a capacitor dielectric film 38 formed on the lower electrode 34, and formed of a perovskite ferroelectric material having a smaller thermal expansion coefficient (CTE) than that of the substrate 30, an upper electrode 40 formed on the capacitor dielectric film 38, the lower electrode 34 having a height larger than a width thereof.

But Summerfelt does not expressly disclose a barrier metal layer formed over a substrate and the perovskite ferroelectric material having a crystal oriented substantially perpendicular to a surface of the lower electrode

However, Shimada reference discloses a capacitor structure in fig. 2 comprises a substrate 10, a buffer layer 11/11A, a lower electrode 12, a perovskite ferroelectric (PZT) material having a crystal oriented substantially perpendicular to a surface of the lower electrode, see abstract. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the PZT crystal orientation teaching of Shimada in place of Summerfelt's device, because it would have provided a high piezoelectric strain constant and a good adhesion with a lower electrode which can be produced without being cracked as taught by Shimada, see abstract.

With respect to a barrier layer over the substrate, Kim reference discloses a capacitor structure in fig 2, wherein a barrier layer 31 formed over the substrate 21 and a lower electrode 39a formed over the barrier layer 31 and having the width larger than that of the barrier layer 31. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the barrier layer teaching of Kim with Summerfelt's device, because it would have prevented a electrode from reacting with the contact plug as taught by Kim, column 3 line 6-8.

With respect to the perovskite ferroelectric material having a smaller thermal expansion coefficient (CTE) than that of the substrate, it is known that Si has the CTE about  $3\times10^{-6}$ /C°, while PZT has the CTE about  $1.8\times10^{-6}$ /C°.

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to suppress stress applied to the capacitor dielectric film caused by a CTE difference between the substrate and the capacitor dielectric. This function is obvious in the structure because the when the structure recited in the reference is substantially identical to that of the claims, claimed properties or functions are presumed to be either anticipation or obviousness. *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Regarding to claim 4, Summerfelt discloses the platinum (Pt) lower electrode 34 and PZT capacitor dielectric 38; therefore the CTE of Pt metal  $(9x10^{-6}/C^{\circ})$  would be larger than that of the PZT  $(1.8x10^{-6}/C^{\circ})$ .

Regarding to claims 7-8, 11-12, Summerfelt does not disclose the capacitor wherein the capacitor dielectric film 38 has (001) oriented tetragonal, (110) oriented rhombohedral crystal structure, 0r 9111) oriented rhombohedral crystal structure.

However, Shimada reference discloses the capacitor wherein the capacitor dielectric film 14 has (001) oriented tetragonal and (111) oriented rhombohedral crystal structure, column 4 line 45-52. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the PZT crystal orientation teaching of Shimada in place of Summerfelt's device, because it would have provided a high piezoelectric strain constant and a good adhesion with a lower electrode which can be produced without being cracked as taught by Shimada, see abstract.

3. Regarding to claims 14, as discussed in the above claims 3,4, 7-8, 11-12, Summerfelt, Shimada, and Kim disclose all the limitations recited in claim 14, except a semiconductor device comprises a memory cell transistor formed on a semiconductor substrate, and including a gate electrode, and source/drain diffused layers formed in the semiconductor substrate respectively on

Application/Control Number: 09/960,398

Art Unit: 2814

both sides of the gate electrode, an insulating film covering the semiconductor substrate with the memory cell transistor formed on.

But Summerfelt and Kim disclose the DRAM application, column 2 line 2 and column 1 lines 15-16, respectively. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of Summerfelt and Kim to form a DRAM or FERAM structure having semiconductor device comprises a memory cell transistor formed on a semiconductor substrate, and including a gate electrode, and source/drain diffused layers formed in the semiconductor substrate respectively on both sides of the gate electrode, an insulating film covering the semiconductor substrate with the memory cell transistor formed on, because such FERAM or DRAM structure is typical in the art, see Cho (6414348), fig. 2D or Ochiai (6043526), fig. 3.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 9-10, 13, and 30-31 are allowed because the prior art of record neither anticipated nor rendered obvious all the limitations of the base claim 1 and 13 including the buffer layer structure is formed of an insulating material.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 3-4,7-8, 11-12 and 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2814

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao X Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1708. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272 -1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thao X. Le 09 Nov. 2004

LCNG SHAVE